



GC7 Community Engagement Strategic Initiative

2024 - 2026




CE SI Objective



Strengthened leadership and engagement of communities most affected by HIV, TB and malaria in Global Fund and related national processes, throughout the grant life cycle, to improve the impact of Global Fund investments.

CE SI Components



Outcomes	SI Catalytic Effect
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Communities generate and use data to inform advocacy and decision-making. 2. Communities have capacity to mobilize and hold their representatives and decision-makers accountable. 3. Communities access timely and relevant Global Fund - related information. 4. Communities drive program quality based on adoption of global guidance and sharing best practice. 	<p>Strengthened leadership and engagement of communities most affected by HIV, TB and malaria in Global Fund and related national processes, throughout the grant life cycle, improves impact of Global Fund investments</p>

Who is who? – CE SI partners

19 COMPONENT 1 Technical Assistance Providers (pre-selected)

1. Center for Health Policies and Studies (PAS)*
2. Coalition for Health Promotion and Social Development (HEPS-UGANDA)
3. ENDA SANTE Cote d'Ivoire (Lead) + Réseau des Organisations des Populations Clés de Côte d'Ivoire (ROPC-CI) + JURISEXPRESS
4. Eurasian Coalition on Health, Rights, Gender and Sexual Diversity (ECOM)*
5. Eurasian Movement for the Right to Health in Prisons (EMRHP)
6. Frontline AIDS (Lead) + ATAC + Coact + Y+ Global*
7. Health Advocacy Coalition (HAC)
8. Harm Reduction International (HRI)*
9. HIV Legal Network (Lead)* + Attika
10. International Community of Women Living with HIV Eastern Africa (ICWEA)*
11. Initiatives Conseil International Santé (Lead) + Civil Society Institute for Health - West and Central Africa (CSIH-WCA)*
12. International Network of People Who Use Drugs (INPUD)
13. International Council of Aids Service Organizations (ICASO)*
14. Kenya Legal & Ethical Issues Network on HIV and AIDS (KELIN)
15. Kenya NGO Alliance Against Malaria (KeNAAM)*
16. Mainline Foundation*
17. Pangaea Zimbabwe
18. Plan Consortium
19. TB Europe Coalition (TBEC)*

**TA provider during the CE SI 2020-2022*


6 COMPONENT 3 Regional Learning Hubs

1. Anglophone Africa, hosted by the Eastern Africa National Networks of AIDS and Health Organizations (EANNASO)
2. Asia-Pacific, hosted by Seven Alliance Consortium
3. **Eastern Europe and Central Asia, hosted by the Eurasian Harm Reduction Association (EHRA)**
4. Francophone Africa, hosted by Réseau Accès aux Médicaments Essentiels (RAME)
5. Latin America and the Caribbean, hosted by Via Libre
6. Middle East and North Africa, hosted by MENAHRA in partnership with MENA Rosa

12 COMPONENT 2 KVP Networks and Organizations

- 6 **Networks of HIV Key Populations:** **GNP+** (PLHIV), **NSWP** (SW), MPact (MSM), GATE (TG), **INPUD** (PWID), **Y2Y Consortium** (Young KP)
- 5 **Networks of TB Activists:** ACT! AP, ACT Africa, **TBEC**, DRAF TB, SES
- 1 **CSO Network for Malaria Elimination:** CS4ME

KVP Network Engagement Support – Component 2 Overview




CE SI provides long-term capacity strengthening to KVP networks in **25 countries:**

- 10 High Impact countries:**
- [High Impact Africa 1](#)
 - [High Impact Africa 2](#)
 - [High Impact Asia](#)





- 9 countries in AELAC:**
- [Eastern Europe and Central Asia](#)
 - [Latin America and the Caribbean](#)
 - [Southeast Asia](#)

- 6 countries in Africa and Middle East:**
- [Southern and Eastern Africa](#)
 - [Western and Central Africa](#)

CE SI Components



Contribution towards KPIs*

1. % of countries with validated and annually updated engagement plans
2. % of countries with engagement plans that convene bi-annual meetings with CS/KP CCM representatives to review progress of engagement plans
3.  **Organizational Development:** % of TB and malaria partners with mid-term and endline self-assessments recording improvement in capacity
4.  **Participation & Voice:** Number of countries where at least one KVP community reports increased participation in GF-related decision-making
5.  **Generate & Use Data:** Number of countries where at least one KVP community produces and/or uses new data to improve program coverage and quality, and/or national commitments to HIV, TB and/or malaria responses
6.  **Influence:** Number of countries where KVP communities report successfully influencing NSP, program funding and/or design

Country-level outcome statements should be structured in such a way as to contribute to one or more of these KPIs

Country Engagement Plans

Why engagement plans?

- Support **cross-constituency coordination and synergies** in countries with multiple CE SI implementing partners.
- Build on identified **engagement bottlenecks** and **target strategic engagement opportunities across the grant life cycle** (NSP review and development, funding request planning and development, grant-making, CCM elections, etc.).

Country	CE SI - Long-term Support (HIV)	CE SI - Long-term Support (TB)
Azerbaijan	-	TBEC (SKPU)
Kyrgyzstan	INPUD (Attika)	-
Moldova	GNP+ (Positive Initiative)	TBEC (PAS)
Ukraine	NSWP (Legalife) Y2Y (Way Home)	TBEC (TB People Ukraine)

Country engagement plan includes ...

- Mapped country-level opportunities for community engagement across the grant life cycle
- Shared and individual outcomes across country partners
- Additional resource needs (beyond CE SI)



Engagement planning workshop, Mongolia, 25 January 2024


The Engagement Continuum

Information sharing:

- Partners aware of each other's work
- Share bi-annual updates with country stakeholders as relevant

Collaboration

- Joint development of DSD guidelines for KPs
- Joint development of lessons learned/case studies



Coordination:

- Joint TA request for NSP review or GC8 FR development
- Co-hosting a training event on safety and security

Country Engagement Plans

Countries with 2-3 partners

1. DEVELOPMENT

- ~ **Engagement planning workshop:**
 - Review country profiles/Assess information gaps
 - Identify/discuss constituency-specific and cross-constituency engagement bottlenecks
 - Identify opportunities to engage across the grant life cycle
 - Develop/refine individual and shared (if relevant) country-level outcomes
 - Brief each other on individual workplans
 - Agree on how to approach bi-annual review of engagement plans

2. FINALIZATION

- Feedback on engagement plan by Global Fund
- Finalization and validation of engagement plan in country

3. IMPLEMENTATION AND REVIEW

- Bi-annual progress review at country level, including with CCM representatives, constituencies
- Annual update (in case of changes)

Q1 2024

Q2 2024 ff.

Who is who? – CE SI team

Name	Position	Email	
Annabelle Metzner	Technical Advisor, Community Engagement	annabelle.metzner@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for CE SI
Hayley Bates	Coordinator	hayley.bates@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for Malaria network
Niluka Pereira	Senior Program Officer	NilukaDilshan.Perera@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for learning hubs
Olive Mumba	Advisor CE in PPR	Olive.mumba@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for TB networks
Pedro Trinidad Garcia	Technical Advisor	PedroTrinidad.Garcia@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for HIV networks
E-Mail for submission of short-term technical assistance requests		ceta@theglobalfund.org	

Questions & Reflections

