



Community Engagement Strategic Initiative

2024 - 2026




Content

- 1. CE SI aim, objectives & approach**
- 2. Component 2: Outcomes of the 2021 – 2023 evaluation report**
- 3. CESI 2024 - 2026 Component 2**



CE SI overall aim, objectives, approach

CE SI Components



Outcomes	SI Catalytic Effect
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Communities generate and use data to inform advocacy and decision-making. 2. Communities have capacity to mobilize and hold their representatives and decision-makers accountable. 3. Communities access timely and relevant Global Fund - related information. 4. Communities drive program quality based on adoption of global guidance and sharing best practice. 	<p>Strengthened leadership and engagement of communities most affected by HIV, TB and malaria in Global Fund and related national processes, throughout the grant life cycle, improves impact of Global Fund investments</p>

Who is who? – CE SI partners

19 COMPONENT 1 Technical Assistance Providers (pre-selected)

1. Center for Health Policies and Studies (PAS)*
2. Coalition for Health Promotion and Social Development (HEPS-UGANDA)
3. ENDA SANTE Cote d'Ivoire (Lead) + Réseau des Organisations des Populations Clés de Côte d'Ivoire (ROPC-CI) + JURISEXPRESS
4. Eurasian Coalition on Health, Rights, Gender and Sexual Diversity (ECOM)*
5. Eurasian Movement for the Right to Health in Prisons (EMRHP)
6. Frontline AIDS (Lead) + ATAC + Coact + Y+ Global*
7. Health Advocacy Coalition (HAC)
8. Harm Reduction International (HRI)*
9. HIV Legal Network (Lead)* + Attika
10. International Community of Women Living with HIV Eastern Africa (ICWEA)*
11. Initiatives Conseil International Santé (Lead) + Civil Society Institute for Health - West and Central Africa (CSIH-WCA)*
12. International Network of People Who Use Drugs (INPUD)
13. International Council of Aids Service Organizations (ICASO)*
14. Kenya Legal & Ethical Issues Network on HIV and AIDS (KELIN)
15. Kenya NGO Alliance Against Malaria (KeNAAM)*
16. Mainline Foundation*
17. Pangaea Zimbabwe
18. Plan Consortium
19. TB Europe Coalition (TBEC)*

**TA provider during the CE SI 2020-2022*

6 COMPONENT 3 Regional Learning Hubs

1. Anglophone Africa, hosted by the Eastern Africa National Networks of AIDS and Health Organizations (EANNASO)
2. Asia-Pacific, hosted by Seven Alliance Consortium
3. Eastern Europe and Central Asia, hosted by the Eurasian Harm Reduction Association (EHRA)
4. Francophone Africa, hosted by Réseau Accès aux Médicaments Essentiels (RAME)
5. Latin America and the Caribbean, hosted by Via Libre
6. Middle East and North Africa, hosted by MENAHRA in partnership with MENA Rosa

12 COMPONENT 2 KVP Networks and Organizations

- 6 **Networks of HIV Key Populations:** GNP+ (PLHIV), NSWP (SW), MPact (MSM), GATE (TG), INPUD (PWID), Y2Y Consortium (Young KP)
- 5 **Networks of TB Activists:** ACT! AP, ACT Africa, TBEC, DRAF TB, SES
- 1 **CSO Network for Malaria Elimination:** CS4ME



Component 2: Outcomes of the 2021 – 2023 evaluation report

General overview of 2021 – 2023 C2 evaluation

Supporting differentiated response based on history and organizing maturity of each disease

- *“CE-SI is an important initiative and is closest to what The Global Fund has in terms of a dedicated funding stream for communities and key and vulnerable populations.”*

KVP Network Grantee

- Component 2 focused on supporting regional and global networks of key and vulnerable populations, to provide consistent, long-term capacity-building support to communities affected by the three diseases
- HIV grantees represented by global networks, focus on different affected populations.
- TB networks represented by regional networks, addressing the unique epidemiology and contextual environment of TB in each region.
- Communities affected by malaria represented by a single network with a global mandate - building representation in a number of countries.

Outcomes of Component 2 evaluation report 2021-2023

Key successes

- Transitioning to country-level work, including country level outcome statements and workplans for 32 unique countries
- Collaborating across grantees, including on the 58 products in the Community Engagement Toolbox
- Partnering with an emerging malaria network, supporting the reach of 600 CSOs working on malaria globally and investing in its organizational development
- Achieving greater understanding by other components and collaborating partners on the role and scope of KVP network engagement

Key Challenges

- Developing country-level outcome statements after work planning was complete
- Mobilizing malaria-affected communities organizations at the local level (vs national or regional)
- Balancing between communities' stated desires and the reality of on the-ground contexts, for KVP networks to have greatest impact

Component 2 evaluation recommendations




- **The process of developing outcome statements should dove-tail with the coordination and consultation with other stakeholders with clear guidance.**
- **Encourage grantees to revisit country outcome statements for relevance on an annual basis as part of narrative reporting.**
- **Consider a KPI that includes grantee self-assessment of progress against outcome statements.**
- **Align KVP network country selection, outcome statement development, and workplans around country grant cycles and the needs and resources of national grants.**
- **Work with KVP networks to further evolve a role as broker between community needs and country grant needs, limitations and context.**
- **Define the approach to providing differentiated support to communities most affected by TB and malaria.**

CESI 2024 - 2026

Component 2: An introduction

KVP Network Engagement Support - Overview



CE SI provides long-term capacity strengthening to KVP networks in **25 countries**:

10 High Impact countries:

- [High Impact Africa 1](#)
- [High Impact Africa 2](#)
- [High Impact Asia](#)


9 countries in AELAC:

- [Eastern Europe and Central Asia](#)
- [Latin America and the Caribbean](#)
- [Southeast Asia](#)





6 countries in Africa and Middle East:

- [Southern and Eastern Africa](#)
- [Western and Central Africa](#)

CE SI Components



Contribution towards KPIs*

1. % of countries with validated and annually updated engagement plans
2. % of countries with engagement plans that convene bi-annual meetings with CS/KP CCM representatives to review progress of engagement plans
3.  **Organizational Development:** % of TB and malaria partners with mid-term and endline self-assessments recording improvement in capacity
4.  **Participation & Voice:** Number of countries where at least one KVP community reports increased participation in GF-related decision-making
5.  **Generate & Use Data:** Number of countries where at least one KVP community produces and/or uses new data to improve program coverage and quality, and/or national commitments to HIV, TB and/or malaria responses
6.  **Influence:** Number of countries where KVP communities report successfully influencing NSP, program funding and/or design

Country-level outcome statements should be structured in such a way as to contribute to one or more of these KPIs

Country Engagement Plans

Why engagement plans?

- Support **cross-constituency coordination and synergies** in countries with multiple CE SI implementing partners to contribute to coalition building and strategic alliances.
- Build on identified **engagement bottlenecks** and **target strategic engagement opportunities across the grant life cycle** (NSP review and development, funding request planning and development, grant-making, CCM elections, etc.).



Engagement plan includes ...

- Mapped opportunities for engagement across the grant life cycle
- Shared outcomes/milestones across country partners
- Individual partner outcomes/milestones (for reference)
- Timeframe
- Funding source and/or resource mobilization needs (beyond CE SI)

Country workplan includes ...

- Individual partner outcomes/milestones
- Activities
- Budget assumptions
- Financial and programmatic progress monitoring (bi-annually)


The Engagement Continuum

Information sharing:

- Partners aware of each other's work
- Share bi-annual updates with country stakeholders as relevant

Collaboration

- Joint development of DSD guidelines for KPs
- Joint development of lessons learned/case studies



Coordination:

- Joint TA request for NSP review or GC8 FR development
- Co-hosting a training event on safety and security

Country Engagement Plans

Countries with 2-3 partners

1. DEVELOPMENT

- ~ **Engagement planning workshop:**
 - Review country profiles/Assess information gaps
 - Identify/discuss constituency-specific and cross-constituency engagement bottlenecks
 - Identify opportunities to engage across the grant life cycle
 - Develop/refine individual and shared (if relevant) country-level outcomes
 - Brief each other on individual workplans
 - Agree on how to approach bi-annual review of engagement plans
- *Submission of **country engagement plan** (1 plan per country) + **updated partner workplans** (incl. country-level outcomes and activities) by 31 March 2024*

2. FINALIZATION

- Feedback on engagement plan by Global Fund
- Finalization and validation of engagement plan in country

3. IMPLEMENTATION AND REVIEW

- Bi-annual progress review at country level, including with CCM representatives, constituencies
- Annual update (in case of changes)

Q1 2024

Q2 2024 ff.

Countries with 1 partner

- **1 CE SI partner:** Partner is expected to develop an individual engagement plan in their country.

Partners are encouraged to conduct a rapid situational analysis and to choose the most suitable approach to the **development of the engagement plan** in their country (step 1). Steps 2 (**finalization and validation**) and 3 (**implementation and periodic review**) are the same as outlined above.

Who is who? – CE SI team

Name	Position	Email	
Annabelle Metzner	Technical Advisor, Community Engagement	annabelle.metzner@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for CE SI
Hayley Bates	Coordinator	hayley.bates@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for Malaria network
Niluka Pereira	Senior Program Officer	NilukaDilshan.Perera@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for learning hubs
Olive Mumba	Advisor CE in PPR	Olive.mumba@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for TB networks
Pedro Trinidad Garcia	Technical Advisor	PedroTrinidad.Garcia@theglobalfund.org	Focal point for HIV networks
E-Mail for submission of short-term technical assistance requests		ceta@theglobalfund.org	

Questions & Reflections

